





Recent Developments in the Design and Construction of Concrete Structures incorporating Low-Ductility Steel Reinforcement

Scott Munter & Mark Patrick

Part 1 – *Design* Part 2 – *Construction*

Overview of Part 1 - Design

- Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties
- Design to AS 3600–2009
- SRIA Experimental Research Programs

Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties Hot-rolled R250N bar to AS/NZS 4671:



Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties Cold-worked D500L bar to AS/NZS 4671:





Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties Cold-worked D500L mesh to AS/NZS 4671:



 Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties
 Cold-worked D500L mesh average tensile results: uniform strain or elongation (ε_n)



 Limited ductility of reinforcing steel (Clause 1.1.2 Application):
 Reinforcing steel of Ductility Class L "shall not be used in any situation where the reinforcement is required to undergo large plastic deformation under strength limit state conditions".

80 50 Tensile strength, f_{sv}=515 MPa Ø Tensile strength reached at onset of necking: steel fracture 4.0 Uniform strain, E_{su}=1.5% assumed in design Tensile Strain (%) 0.0 ļ 20 Yield strain, ε_{sy}=0.25% **esign** elasticity, E_s=200 G Pa-Yield stress, f_{s/}=500 MPa_ Modulus of 2 00 200 800 8 8 8 80 0 Ten sile Stress (MPa)

 Mixing Ductility Class N and L bars (Clause 2.2 Design for Strength)

- Nominal moment capacity, M_{uo} (Clause 8.1 Strength of Beams in Bending)
 - rectangular stress block theory can be used to calculate M_{uo} of singly-reinforced sections without having to consider possible steel fracture

 bending without axial tension or compression, for members with Class L main reinforcement:

 $0.6 \le \{\phi = (1.19 - 13k_{uo}/12)\} \le 0.64$ (i.e. =0.8×0.8)

- Analysis Methods & Moment Redistribution (Section 6 Methods of Structural Analysis)
 - Methods of analysis for calculating M*, etc. with Class L mesh:
 - Clause 6.2 Linear Elastic Analysis of any type of concrete structure, but ignoring moment redistribution
 - Clause 6.10 Simplified Methods for beams or one-way slabs; and two-way slabs supported on four sides.

 Support settlement does not normally have to be considered

SRIA Experimental Research Programs

- SRIA Continuous One-Way Slab Tests under Standard Fire Conditions conducted at BHP Melbourne Research Laboratories in 1997
- SRIA Ductility Class L Elevated Slab Tests conducted at Curtin University between 2007 and 2012

SRIA Experimental Research Programs

SRIA Continuous Slab Fire Tests:

- Two unrestrained slabs tested:
 - Ductility Class N mesh; then
 - Ductility Class L mesh
- Min. 2 hour Standard Fire Conditions
- Reinforcement ductility severely tested:

 -30% moment redistribution in design
 under-reinforced support regions (p⁻=0.0033)
 longitudinally unrestrained on roller supports





SRIA Continuous Slab Fire Tests

Ductility Class L – 3+ hours

Ductility Class N – 2+ hours

SRIA Experimental Research Programs

SRIA Ductility Class L Slab Tests:

- Reinforcement ductility
- Moment-curvature relationships
- Moment redistribution
- Mixing Ductility Class L mesh and N bars
- Strength review of AS 3600–2009
- Compressive membrane action
- Doubly-reinforced sections

Curtin University

Test Report – SRIA Class L Mesh Slab Tests VOLUME 2 of 3: Plates

Curtin University

Test Report – SRIA Class L Mesh Slab Tests

VOLUME 1 of 3: Report ISBN: 978-0-9872876-0-1

June 2012

Dr Ian Chandler and Dr Natalie Lloyd

Department of Civil Engineering School of Civil and Mechanical Engineering



Dr Ian Chandler and Dr Natalie Lloyd Department of Civil Engineering June 2012

ISBN: 978-0-9872876-1-8



Test Report - SRIA Class L Mesh Slab Tests

VOLUME 3 of 3: Figures



Dr Ian Chandler and Dr Natalie Lloyd Department of Civil Engineering June 2012 ISBN: 978-0-9872876-2-5

+ associated Curtin Uni / SRIA documents

DSOW Series

SSOW-S

TW Series

SOUTH

SSOW Series

Universal Test Rig



Restrained ends or edges: fully built-in





SRIA Ductility Class L Slab Tests moment redistribution Degree of Moment Redistribution at 2nd Loading Point (%) **Degree of moment redistribution** approaches about 10%, implying that close to a full plastic hinge mechanism developed Applied Load on each Span (kN)



SRIA Ductility Class L Slab Tests - doubly-reinforced sections

 Strain-compatibility and force equilibrium assumptions for doubly-reinforced sections:

plane sections remain plane

- concrete has no tensile strength
- resultant tensile & compressive forces balance
- maximum concrete comp. strain, ε_c =0.003
- uniform concrete comp. stress, $\alpha_2 f'$
- max. steel tensile stress, $f_u = 1.03 f_{sv}$
- max. steel tensile strain, \mathcal{E}_{su} =0.015

Conclusions: Part 1 - Design

- Low-Ductility Reinforcement Properties
- Design to AS 3600–2009
- SRIA Experimental Research Programs







Recent Developments in the Design and Construction of Concrete Structures incorporating Low-Ductility Steel Reinforcement

Scott Munter & Mark Patrick

Part 2 – Construction

Overview of Part 2 - Construction

- Low-Ductility Reinforcement Types
- Standard Ductility Class L Meshes
- Lapping Mesh & Design Steel Areas
- Doubly-reinforced Slab Sections
- Overcoming 20% Penalty in Bending Strength Design
- Case Study

Low-Ductility Reinforcement Types

greenstar

 1 point – at least 60% of the steel is made using an energy reduction process

 1 point – at least 15% of the reinforcing steel is used for off site optimal fabrication techniques Low-Ductility Reinforcement Types

• AS 3600–2009 Clause 1.1.2(c):

"Reinforcing steel of Ductility Class L in accordance with AS/NZS 4671 may be used as main or secondary reinforcement in the form of welded wire mesh, or as wire (coil), bar and mesh in fitments"









10



4th EDITION

A.R.C. WELDED WIRE FABRIC, now produced in all States of the Commonwealth by the various A.R.C. manufacturing plants, was introduced to Australia by A.R.C. during 1918. Since that date, it has become universally recognised and accepted as an economical and convenient reinforcing material.

Mesh and the Steel Reinforcement Industry, we present this As the pioneers and acknowledged leaders in Welded up-to-date information for the assistance of Engineers, Architects, and Builders.

tory at Homebush, and certain of the technical information A programme of research into the properties of welded mesh and steel reinforcements, together with regular quality in this booklet is the result of that work. Likewise, as a result of our continuous machine design programme, our control, is now performed in our N.A.T.A. Research Laboraequipment incorporates the most modern welding techniques.

orcing bars of various types the complete reinforcing A.R.C. FABRIC, used in conjunction with the comprehensive range of A R C reinf

rete construction.



For full details of the current ACRS certificate holders can be found at www.acrs.net.au

AUSTRALIAN CERTIFICATION AUTHORITY FOR REINFORCING STEELS LIMITED

A.R.C. Welded Wire Fabric is produced on modern precision equipment that assures exact spacing of wires and perfectly welded joints.

If there's any doubt, please contat ACRS on (02) 9965 7216 or Email: info@acrs.net.au ABN 40 096 692 545

ORCEMENT

ACRS SUMMA CERTIFIES

Standard Ductility Class L Meshes

- R500L (plain) or D500L (ribbed) off coil
 All mesh is factory made and machine welded
 Joints at intersections of longitudinal and transverse bars are electrical resistance welded, with shear strengths not less than 50% of the nominal yield strength of the larger bar
- Bar strength is unaffected by welding



Lapping Mesh & Design Steel Areas

Cross-sectional areas of standard Australian Ductility Class L meshes (mm²/m)

Ref. No.	Longitudinal		Transverse		Ref. No.	Longitu	udinal	Transv	rerse	
	A _{bl}	\overline{A}_{bl}	$A_{\rm bt}$	\overline{A}_{bt}		A _{bl}	\overline{A}_{bl}	A _{bt}	\overline{A}_{bt}	
RL1218	1112	1215	227	243	SL81	454	495	454	470	
RL1118	899	982	227	243	SL102	354	372	354	380	
RL1018	709	774	227	243	SL92	290	303	290	311	
RL918	581	634	227	243	SL82	227	247	227	243	
RL818	454	495	227	243	SL72	179	190	179	192	
RL718	358	390	227	243	SL62	141	157	141	152	



Overcoming 20% Penalty in Bending Strength Design

- Average cross-sectional areas of Standard meshes are 5 to 10% greater than nominal mesh areas.
- Bending strength of doubly-reinforced sections is typically 10-15% greater than singlyreinforced sections (design assumption)

⇒ Accounting for both these effects in design can nullify the effects of the 20% penalty in construction













Conclusions: Part 2 - Construction

- Low-Ductility Reinforcement Types
- Standard Ductility Class L Meshes
- Lapping Mesh & Design Steel Areas
- Doubly-reinforced Slab Sections
- Overcoming 20% Penalty in Bending Strength Design
- Case Study







Recent Developments in the Design and Construction of Concrete Structures incorporating Low-Ductility Steel Reinforcement

Scott Munter & Mark Patrick

